# **LA-UR-24-28824**

#### **Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.**

- **Title:** Improvements to Contributions from Neutron Inelastic Scattering for Next-Event Estimators in MCNP® Software
- **Author(s):** Sweezy, Jeremy Ed
- **Intended for:** 4th Annual 2024 MCNP® User Symposium, 2024-08-19/2024-08-22 (Los Alamos, New Mexico, United States)

**Issued:** 2024-08-28 (rev.1)









Los Alamos National Laboratory, an affirmative action/equal opportunity employer, is operated by Triad National Security, LLC for the National Nuclear Security<br>Administration of U.S. Department of Energy under contract 892



# **Improvements to Contributions from Neutron Inelastic Scattering for Next-Event Estimators in MCNP® Software**

**Jeremy Sweezy** 4th Annual 2024 MCNP® User Symposium Aug 20, 2024

LA-UR-24-28824





#### **Conclusions for the Impatient - Spoiler Alert!**

- Minor corrections in MCNP® 6.3.1 for inelastic neutron scattering contributions to Point Det. / DXTRAN spheres
- Average users won't notice. Nuclear data nerds might.
	- Inelastic cross section generally much smaller than elastic cross section
	- Energy range of the corrections:
		- $\triangle E = -Q/(A * (A 1))$
		- H-1, He-3, He-4 have no inelastic reactions
		- Only appreciable for Deuterium (H-2) and Tritium (H-3)
		- Small energy range, −*Q* × (10's keV), for small mass targets (3 < *A* < 33)
		- Tiny energy range, −*Q* × (< 1 keV), for large mass targets (*A* > 33)



## **What is a Next-Event Estimator?**

- In MCNP nomenclature, a Next-Event Estimator (NEE) is termed a point detector, or F5 tally
- FIP, FIC, and FIR tallies are arrays of NEEs
- DXTRAN (DXT), Deterministic Transport, also uses NEE scattering physics **Diagram of a FIR (Flux Image**



# Radiograph) tally.



#### **NEE Energy from Neutron Inelastic Scattering**



#### **Particle-Transport Simulation** with the Monte Carlo Method

L. L. Carter and E. D. Cashwell Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory

*"..the lower root E*′ *l* − *can usually be ignored without introducing appreciable error.' [\[1\]](#page-25-0)*



#### **NEE Energy from Neutron Inelastic Scattering**



#### **Particle-Transport Simulation** with the Monte Carlo Method

L. L. Carter and E. D. Cashwell Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory





**UNCLASSIFIED** 8/20/2024 | 5

### **NEE Energy from General Neutron Scattering**

• Generalized equation (moving and non-moving target, elastic and inelastic scattering) for outgoing neutron energy in the LAB frame [\[2,](#page-25-1) [3\]](#page-26-0):

$$
E'_{l\pm}=E_{cm}[\mu_l\pm D]^2
$$

For a stationary target:  $E_{cm} = \frac{E_{cm}}{100 \text{ Hz}}$  $\frac{27}{(A+1)^2}$  (Specific Energy of COM System)  $D =$ s  $\left(\mu_l^2 - \left(1 - \frac{E_c'}{E_{cm}}\right)\right)$  (This is the  $\sqrt{\text{discriminate}}$  )

- The outgoing energy in the COM frame,  $E'_c$ , is:
	- provided by nuclear data for inelastic scattering
	- $-$  provided by kinematics for elastic scattering ( $E_c^{\prime}=E_c$ )



## **NEE Weight from General Neutron Scattering**

• The outgoing weight is the original weight multiplied by the differential angular probability,

$$
w'=wp(\mu_l)
$$

• The Jacobian (∂µ*c*/∂µ*<sup>l</sup>* ) is used for conversion of the differential angular probabilities from the center-of-mass (COM) to laboratory frame (LAB):

$$
p(\mu_I) = p(\mu_c) \partial \mu_c / \partial \mu_I
$$

• And an expression for the Jacobian (∂µ*c*/∂µ*<sup>l</sup>* ) that is valid for both roots is:

$$
\frac{\partial \mu_c}{\partial \mu_l} = E'_l \frac{1}{\sqrt{E'_c E_{cm} D^2}}.
$$



#### **NEE Energy and Jacobian**







Two roots only valid for limited scattering angles:  $\sqrt{1 - A^2 - QA(A+1)/E_l} < \mu_l < 1$ 



#### **Examples of Two Root Ranges for Various Isotopes/Reactions**





#### **MCNP** ≤ **6.3.0, NEE for Level Scattering**





#### **MCNP** ≤ **6.3.0, NEE for Law 44 and Law 61**





#### **MCNP** ≤ **6.3.0, Neutron NEE - Scattering Angle Issues**

- Reactions are only possible if −1.0 ≤ µ*<sup>c</sup>* ≤ 1.0
- Floating point comparisons for  $\mu_c \approx -1$  and  $\mu_c \approx 1$  were not properly handled
	- some contributions were neglected
	- lead to minor underestimation
- Law 44 (Kalbach 87): Incorrect handling for  $\mu_c < -1.0$  and  $\mu_c > 1.0$ 
	- Was incorrectly reset to:

$$
\mu_c = -1.0 \text{ for } \mu_c < -1.0,
$$

or

 $\mu_c = 1.0$  for  $\mu_c > 1.0$ 

– lead to overestimation, for backward scattering



#### **MCNP** ≥ **6.3.1, Corrections**

- All inelastic reactions implementations combined into a single implementation
- The correction solves for both roots [\[2\]](#page-25-1).
- If  $(-1.0 > \mu_c > -1.0 + \epsilon)$  then set  $\mu_c = -1.0$
- If  $(1.0 < \mu_c < 1.0 + \epsilon)$  then set  $\mu_c = 1.0$



### **MCNP Tests with Single Reaction Cross-sections**

- Single reaction cross-sections generated with ACEtk
- Thin target
- Tally single scatter only
- Compare F5 to F4 torus





#### **Testing - Law 44 (Kalbach 87) - Within Two Root Region**

B-11 (z,n $\alpha$ ) - Law 44 (Kalbach 87), 9.545 MeV Source,  $\mu_l = 1.0$ 





#### **Law 44 (Kalbach 87) – Within Single Root Region**

B-11 (z,nα) - Law 44 (Kalbach 87), 10.0 MeV Source, µ*<sup>l</sup>* = −0.9





#### **Law 61 (Tabulated Energy Angle) – Within Two Root Region**

Al-26 MT 91 (Continuum Scattering) - Law 61, 5.035 MeV Source, µ*<sup>l</sup>* = 0.9





#### **Law 61 (Tabulated Energy Angle) – Within Two Root Region**

Al-26 MT 91 (Continuum Scattering) - Law 61, 5.035 MeV neutrons, µ*<sup>l</sup>* = 1.0





#### **Integral Test - LLNL Pulse Sphere - D**2**O Diagram**

- $D_2O$  sphere with fusion neutron generator
- Time-of-flight measurements





#### **Integral Test - LLNL Pulse Sphere - D<sub>2</sub>O Results**





#### **Integral Test - LLNL Pulse Sphere - D<sub>2</sub>O Results**





# Questions Email: [jsweezy@lanl.gov](mailto:jsweezy@lanl.gov)



**UNCLASSIFIED** 8/20/2024 | 23

#### **References I**

#### <span id="page-25-0"></span>[1] Leland L. Carter and Edmond D. Cashwell.

*Particle Transport Simulation with the Monte Carlo Method*. Technical Information Center, Energy Research and Development Administration, Los Alamos, NM, USA, October 1975. TID-26607. <https://www.osti.gov/biblio/4167844>.

#### <span id="page-25-1"></span>[2] Jeremy Ed Sweezy.

Improvements to Contributions from Neutron Inelastic Scattering for Next-Event Estimators in MCNP® Software.

Technical Report LA-UR-23-31561, Rev. 1, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM, USA, November 2023.

<https://www.osti.gov/biblio/2205018>.



#### **References II**

#### <span id="page-26-0"></span>[3] Jeremy Ed Sweezy.

#### Neutron Next-Event Estimators Kinematics.

Technical Report LA-UR-23-30378, Rev. 2, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM, USA, September 2023. <https://www.osti.gov/biblio/2000872>.

