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The MCNP Monte Carlo code' and iss ENDF/B-V continuous-energy cross-section library previously has been henchmarked ${ }^{23}$ against a variety of critical experiments, and that benchmarking recently has been extended to include its ENDF/B-VI continuous-energy crosssection library and additional critical experiments. This study further extends the benchmarking of MCNP and its two continuous-energy libraries to 17 large-scale mockup experiments ${ }^{5}$ that closely resemble the core of a pressurized water reactor (PWR).

## DESCRIPTION OF EXPERIMENTS

The experiments were performed at Babcock \& Wilcox's Lynchburg Research Center in 1970 and 1971. The series was designated as Core XI, and the individual experiments were characterized as different "loadings." The experiments were performed inside a large alumir.um tank that contained borated water. The water height for each loading was exactly 145 cm , and the soluble boron concentration in the water was adjusted until the configuration was slightly supercritical, with a value of 1.0007 for $\mathbf{k}_{\text {err }}$. Pin-by-pin power distributior" were measured for several of the loadings. The standard deviation in the measured boron concentration was $\pm 3$ PPM. Based on calculations performed as part of this study, that variation in the soluble boron concentration corresponds to a variation in $k_{\text {ald }}$ of approximately $\pm 0.0005$ $\Delta k$.

For loadings 2 through 15, the central region of the core closely resembled a $3 \times 3$ array of PWR fuel assemblies with fuel rods, water holes, and/or perturbing rods (made of Pyrex, Vicor, or $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ ) arranged in a $15 \times 15$ lattice. The nine assemblies were surrounded by a buffer region containing $2936 \mathrm{UO}_{2}$ fuel rods, and the buffer region in tum was encompassed by a refiector region. All of the fuel pins were clad in aluminum, and they had an enrichment of $2.459 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{o}$.

Loadings 1, 16, and 17 differed from the others only in the arrangement of the central region of the core. Loading 1 had fucl pins in all locations in the central core. Loading 16 had six parallel lines of water holes in the central region that were separated from each other by 7 fuel pins, and loading 17 had six additional lines of water holes that were perpendicular to and intersected those lines.

## RESULTS

MCNP calculations were performed for each of the loadings with both ENDF/B-V and ENDF/B-VI libraries, and the results are summarized in Table I. (For similar loadings where the difference in the soluble boron concentration was 3 PPM or less, the average of the two concentrations was used for both.) The calculations used octant symmetry for all of the loadings except 16, where quadrant symmetry was used. Each of the calculations employed 1050 generations with 4000 neutron histories per generation, and the first 50 generations were excluded from the statistics. The rumaining 4 million active histories were sufficient to reduce the standard deviation in the relative pin powers to about $1 \%$.

Both libraries produce results that match the measured $\mathrm{k}_{\text {en }}$ quite well, fllthough the ENDF/B-VI results are slightly but consistently lower than the corresponding ENDF/B-V results. The average value of $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{0} \boldsymbol{\pi}}$ based on the 17 sample means from the ENDF/B-V calculations is 0.9983 , while the corresponding value from the ENDF/B-VI calculations is 0.9959 . The difference between the highest and lowest ENDF/B-V values for $\mathbf{k}_{\text {aff }}$ is only $0.0030 \mathbf{\Delta k}$, and the corresponding ENDF/R-VI difference is only $0.0029 \Delta \mathrm{k}$.

## CONCLUSIONS

The benchmarking of the MCNP Monte Carlo code and its continuous-energy ENDF/B-V and ENDF/B-VI libraries has been extended to large-scale mockup experiments that closely resemble the core of a PWR. Both libraries produce accurate and consistent values for $k_{\text {erf }}$ : on average, the ENDF/B-V result is low by $0.0024 \pm 0.0006 \Delta k$, while the average ENDF/B-VI result is low by $0.0048 \pm 0.0006 \Delta \mathbf{k}$.
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Table I
Summary of Results

| Loading | Fuel <br> Rods | Water Holes | Pyrex <br> Rods | Vicor Rods | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \\ & \text { Rods } \end{aligned}$ | Soluble Boron (PPM) | $k_{\text {eff }}$, ENDF/B-V | ENDF/B-VI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1511 | $0.9981 \pm 0.0003$ | $0.9963 \pm 0.0003$ |
| 2 | 4808 | 153 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1335.5 | $0.9988 \pm 0.0003$ | $0.9964 \pm 0.0003$ |
| 3 | 4808 | 153 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1335.5 | $0.9993 \pm 0.0003$ | $0.9962 \pm 0.0003$ |
| 4 | 4808 | 117 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 1182 | $0.9991 \pm 0.0003$ | $0.9957 \pm 0.0003$ |
| 5 | 4808 | 117 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 1182 | $0.9982 \pm 0.0003$ | $0.9967 \pm 0.0003$ |
| 6 | 4808 | 81 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 1032.5 | $0.9987 \pm 0.0003$ | $0.9962 \pm 0.0003$ |
| 7 | 4808 | 81 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 1032.5 | $0.9982 \pm 0.0003$ | $0.9956 \pm 0.0003$ |
| 8 | 4808 | 9 | 144 | 0 | 0 | 794 | $0.9965 \pm 0.0003$ | $0.9944 \pm 0.0003$ |
| 9 | 4808 | 9 | 144 | 0 | 0 | 779 | $0.9972 \pm 0.0003$ | $0.9946 \pm 0.0003$ |
| 10 | 4808 | 81 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 1245 | $0.9986 \pm 0.0003$ | $0.9966 \pm 0.0003$ |
| 11 | 4808 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 144 | 1384 | $0.9995 \pm 0.0003$ | $0.9970 \pm 0.0003$ |
| 12 | 4808 | 117 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 1348 | $0.9977 \pm 0.0003$ | $0.9963 \pm 0.0003$ |
| 13 | 4308 | 117 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 1348 | $0.9998 \pm 0.0003$ | $0.9969 \pm 0.0003$ |
| 14 | 4808 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 1362.5 | $0.9983 \pm 0.0003$ | $0.9959 \pm 0.0003$ |
| 15 | 4308 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 1362.5 | $0.9984 \pm 0.0003$ | $0.9953 \pm 0.0003$ |
| 16 | 4691 | 270 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1158 | $0.9981 \pm 0.0003$ | $0.9959 \pm 0.0003$ |
| 17 | 4457 | 504 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 921 | $0.9972 \pm 0.0003$ | $0.9941 \pm 0.0003$ |

